Euclidean Algorithm Solutions

Justin Stevens

Problem 1. (Mandelbrot) Compute gcd(2001, 25001).

Solution. Using the division algorithm, we see that

$$25001 = 2001 \cdot 12 + 989$$
$$2001 = 989 \cdot 2 + 23$$
$$989 = 23 \cdot 43.$$

Hence, $gcd(2001, 25001) = \boxed{23}$.

Problem 2. (i) Find a pair of integers (m, n) satisfying 17m + 59n = 1.

(ii) Solve the linear congruence $17x \equiv 3 \pmod{59}$.

Solution. (i) Using the division algorithm, we see that

$$59 = 17 \cdot 3 + 8$$
$$17 = 8 \cdot 2 + 1.$$

Hence, rewriting the equations, we see that

$$1 = 17 - 8 \cdot 2 = 17 - (59 - 17 \cdot 3) \cdot 2 = 17 \cdot 7 - 59 \cdot 2.$$

Therefore, the pair (m,n) = (7,-2) suffice.

(ii) From above, we have $17 \cdot 7 \equiv 1 \pmod{59}$. Multiplying this congruence by 3 we arrive at $17 \cdot 21 \equiv 3 \pmod{59}$. Hence, $x \equiv \boxed{21 \pmod{59}}$.

Problem 3. (PuMaC) Compute $gcd(2^{30^{10}}-2,2^{30^{45}}-2)$. Leave your answer in exponential form.

Solution. Recall that $gcd(n^a - 1, n^b - 1) = n^{gcd(a,b)} - 1$. Factoring out 2 and applying this theorem twice gives

$$\gcd(2^{30^{10}} - 2, 2^{30^{45}} - 2) = 2\gcd(2^{30^{10} - 1} - 1, 2^{30^{45} - 1} - 1)$$

$$= 2\left(2^{\gcd(30^{10} - 1, 30^{45} - 1)} - 1\right)$$

$$= 2\left(2^{30^{\gcd(10, 45)} - 1} - 1\right)$$

$$= 2\left(2^{30^{5} - 1} - 1\right)$$

$$= 2^{30^{5}} - 2$$

Problem 4. Prove that if gcd(a,b) = d, then $gcd(\frac{a}{d}, \frac{b}{d}) = 1$. Hint: Use Bezout's theorem.

Solution. Since $d \mid a$ and $d \mid b$, there exist integers a' and b' such that a = da' and b = db'. From Bezout's theorem, there exists integers x and y such that

$$ax + by = d \implies da'x + db'y = d \implies a'x + b'y = 1.$$

From Bezout's again, gcd(a', b') = 1. Since $a' = \frac{a}{d}$ and $b' = \frac{b}{d}$, we are finished.

Problem 5. (i) Prove that if a and b are relatively prime, then gcd(ab, a + b) = 1.

Hint: Use Euclid's Lemma and proof by contradiction.

(ii) Prove that
$$gcd(a+b, a^2 - ab + b^2) = \begin{cases} 1 \text{ if } 3 \nmid a+b \\ 3 \text{ if } 3 \mid a+b. \end{cases}$$

Solution. (i) Assume for the sake of contradiction that they are not relatively prime. This implies that there exists a prime p such that $p \mid ab$ and $p \mid a + b$. From Euclid's lemma, $p \mid ab \implies p \mid a$ or $p \mid b$. However, if $p \mid a$ for instance, then from

From Euclid's lemma, $p \mid ab \implies p \mid a$ or $p \mid b$. However, if $p \mid a$ for instance, then from $p \mid a+b$, we must also have $p \mid b$. This contradicts the fact that a and b are relatively prime. Therefore, it is impossible to find such a prime p, and gcd(ab, a+b) = 1.

(ii) Using the Euclidean algorithm, we see that since $(a+b)^2 = a^2 + 2ab + b^2$, we have $\gcd(a+b,a^2-ab+b^2) = \gcd(a+b,a^2+2ab+b^2-\left(a^2-ab+b^2\right)) = \gcd(a+b,3ab).$

From above, we know that if $\gcd(a,b)=1$, then $\gcd(a+b,ab)=1$. Therefore, if $3\mid a+b$, then $\gcd(a+b,a^2-ab+b^2)=3$. Otherwise, if $3\nmid a+b$, then $\gcd(a+b,a^2-ab+b^2)=1$.

Problem 6. The Fibonacci numbers are defined by $F_1 = 1$, $F_2 = 1$, and $F_{n+2} = F_{n+1} + F_n$.

- (i) Prove that any two consecutive Fibonacci numbers are relatively prime using induction.
- (ii) Prove that $F_m \mid F_{mq}$ for all natural q using the identity $F_{a+b} = F_{a+1}F_b + F_aF_{b-1}$.
- (*) Prove that $gcd(F_n, F_m) = F_{gcd(n,m)}$. Hint: Write n = mq + r.
- Solution. (i) We use the method of induction to prove the statement $gcd(F_{n+1}, F_n) = 1$. If n = 1, then this is equivalent to $gcd(F_2, F_1) = gcd(1, 1) = 1$. Now, we assume the statement is true for n = k. For n = k + 1 we see that

$$\gcd(F_{k+2}, F_{k+1}) = \gcd(F_{k+2} - F_{k+1}, F_{k+1}) = \gcd(F_k, F_{k+1}) = 1$$

using the inductive hypothesis and the definition of Fibonacci numbers.

(ii) We once again use the method of induction. For q=1, we have $F_m \mid F_m$. For q=2, we see that $F_{2m}=F_{m+1}F_m+F_mF_{m-1}$ using the identity, therefore, $F_m \mid F_{2m}$.

Now, we assume the statement is true for an arbitrary q and show it holds for q + 1. We see that from the identity,

$$F_{mq+m} = F_{mq+1}F_m + F_{mq}F_{m-1}.$$

From the inductive hypothesis, $F_m \mid F_{mq}$, therefore, we see that $F_m \mid F_{mq+m}$. Hence, we have proven the statement for q+1 and our induction is complete.

(iii) Write n = mq + r using the division algorithm. Using the Fibonacci identity,

$$F_n = F_{mq+r} = F_{mq+1}F_r + F_{mq}F_{r_1}.$$

Now, since $F_m \mid F_{mq}$, we can subtract multiples of F_m using the Euclidean algorithm:

$$\gcd(F_n, F_m) = \gcd(F_{mq+1}F_r + F_{mq}F_{r-1}, F_m) = \gcd(F_{mq+1}F_r, F_m).$$

Finally, we have $gcd(F_{mq+1}, F_m)$ since $F_m \mid F_{mq}$ and consecutive Fibonacci numbers are relatively prime. Therefore,

$$\gcd(F_n, F_m) = \gcd(F_r, F_m).$$

The conclusion is hence that $gcd(F_n, F_m) = gcd(F_m, F_r)$ as in the Euclidean algorithm. This implies that $gcd(F_n, F_m) = F_{gcd(m,n)}$. For instance,

$$\gcd(F_{182}, F_{65}) = \gcd(F_{65}, F_{52}) = \gcd(F_{52}, F_{13}) = F_{13}$$

and gcd(182, 65) = 13.